

*Hopi Tribe
Arizona Department of Transportation
Bureau of Indian Affairs – Western Regional Office
Federal Highway Administration – Arizona Division
Coconino County and Navajo County
Navajo Division of Transportation
Navajo Nation*

2nd Annual Hopi Tribe Partnership Meeting
October 2, 2009
10:00 a.m.-4:00 p.m.
Hopi Veteran's Memorial Center, Kykotsmovi, Arizona

MEETING NOTES

On Oct. 2, 2009, the Hopi Tribe Partnership participated in the 2nd Annual Hopi Tribe Partnership meeting. The Hopi Tribe hosted the full day meeting, including continental breakfast, snacks and lunch, at the Hopi Veteran's Memorial Center in Kykotsmovi. Thank you for your great generosity and welcoming spirit!

Appreciation is also extended to ADOT for providing the facilitator, Joy Keller-Weidman, and the meeting supplies; and for printing and producing the participants packets, for sending the invitations, and developing the registration list; and to FHWA for purchasing and producing the name badges; to the wonderful presenters; to the Planning Committee members for their time and expertise; and to all the Hopi Partnership members who continue to contribute to the success of this Partnership every day, with their collaborative approach and commitment to working with each other.

The meeting included members of the eight partner groups: Hopi Tribe, Arizona Department of Transportation, Bureau of Indian Affairs – Western Regional Office, Federal Highway Administration – Arizona Division, Coconino County, Navajo County, Navajo Division of Transportation and Navajo Nation. There were 55 participants. Please see the Participants Roster for additional contact information.

The purpose of the meeting was to promote understanding, commitment, ownership and strategies to continue building the partnership process among the eight partner groups' leaders and members.

The outcomes include:

- ❖ **Seek Guidance from all partners for 3rd year**
- ❖ **Overview of part year (2nd year), including goals**
- ❖ **Build relationships and networking among all partner groups**

The meeting notes, presentations and other attachments will be available on the Arizona Tribal Transportation web site (www.aztribaltransportation.org), under the Hopi Partnership tab and then under Meeting Notes.

AGENDA ITEMS & HIGHLIGHTS

Topic	Highlights
Kick Off and Welcome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Opening Prayer & Blessing was offered by <u>Archie Dawahoyeoma</u>, <i>Hopi Transportation Task Team member</i> ◆ Meeting Kick Off by moderators <u>Phillip Quochoytewa</u>, <i>Hopi Tribe Council Presiding Officer & Hopi Transportation Task Team Vice Chairman</i>; and <u>Davis Pecusa</u>, <i>Hopi Transportation Task Team Chairman</i>. ◆ Opening remarks included: <p>Introduction of Joy Keller Weidman- Holon Consultants Thank you for the beautiful job of hosting the morning breakfast, and all of the work that went into coordinating this meeting for us today. Please open your materials and review the agenda.</p>

Topic	Highlights
Hopi Leadership Executive Overview	<p><u>Phillip Quochoytewa</u>, <i>Hopi Tribe Council Presiding Officer & Hopi Transportation Task Team Vice Chairman</i>; and <u>Davis Pecusa</u>, <i>Hopi Transportation Task Team Chairman</i> provided an executive overview of the Hopi Tribe.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Acknowledgments and introductions of those at the meeting, called by agency to stand up when identified ◆ Mary Felter - Tribal Secretary as Acting CEO ◆ Hopi Council Reps – 4 ◆ BIA Hopi Agency Superintendent – Wendall Honanie ◆ Navajo Nation Council Members ◆ Navajo County Board of Supervisors - 3 ◆ Coconino County -2 ◆ Apache County ◆ Kee Yazzie – ADOT Holbrook District + 2 ◆ Governors Office – Katosha Nakai ◆ ADOT - 14 ◆ FHWA - 2 ◆ Chapters- Hardrock, Upper & Lower Moencopi, Mishongnovi, Pinon and Shungopavi, ◆ Villages/CSA – Hoteville, First Mesa, Tewa, Walpi
Airports and Transportation funding	<p>Fred Shupla, <i>Office of Community Planning</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Improvements needed for emergency evacuations

Topic: **Partner Group Leadership Overview:** The following Partner Group leaders introduced their partner group and members, and provided opening remarks:

PARTNER GROUP	SENIOR LEADER	KEY POINTS
Hopi Tribe	<u>Phillip Quochytewa</u> , <i>Hopi Tribe Presiding Officer</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Certificate of Appreciation awarded to Navajo Nation DOT and Navajo County for the assistance on the TIGER Grant. The certificate read as follows: <p style="text-align: center;">Is hereby presented to the Navajo Nation Transportation Committee for joining the Hopi Tribe in partnership with the Navajo County and the Bureau of Indian Affairs in the submittal of the TIGER Grant proposal for the construction of the Low Mountain Road.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Your assistance and support is greatly appreciated October 2, 2009</p> <p>Accepted by Francine Bradley for Navajo DOT Accepted by Tiffany Ashworth for Navajo County</p>
Arizona Department of Transportation	<u>John McGee</u> <i>ADOT Executive Director Planning & Policy</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ John McGee – Thank you for hosting this meeting and I appreciate being invited. ◆ John Halikowski is not feeling well due a reaction from a recent flu shot. ◆ ADOT has used the Partnering process for 18 years, proven that this process works and brings successes to our transportation projects statewide. <p>These meetings are important to lay the foundation for good working relationships that will open doors to our success together as a Partnership.</p>
FHWA	<u>Nate Banks</u> , <i>FHWA Program Management & Technical Services, Senior Engineering Manager</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Very glad to be here today and to have this opportunity to meet the new representatives of the stakeholder groups. ◆ Manual Sanchez- newest member to FHWA ◆ Partnerships are extremely important to use to further the growth and work out solutions to your issues. ◆ Have a good session today and network with new people and build new lasting relationships.
BIA	<u>Wendell Honanie</u> , <i>Hopi Agency, BIA Superintendent</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Org Chart Handouts of key staff BIA Office ◆ Allen Anspach – Regional Director ◆ Dave Smith – Regional Roads Engineer ◆ Bob Maxwell – Regional Roads Planner ◆ Wendall Honanie - Sr. Superintendent ◆ Leroy Gishi – Supervisory Highway Engineer

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Sheldon Kipp- Supervisory Highway Engineer ◆ Staff recognitions – Herb Begay and Andy ◆ Building a new partnership for airport services and emergency projects
Navajo County	<u>Jesse Thompson</u> , <i>Navajo County Supervisor</i>	<p>Recognition of staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Jesse Thompson– District Supervisor ◆ Tiffany Ashworth, Grants Administrator ◆ Norman Cody, Civil Engineer ◆ Percy Deal, Chapter President ◆ Appreciate being involved in writing the new ARRA TIGER Grant for Hopi ◆ Partnership is important for the success of Navajo and Hopi to work together to help our communities. Some examples are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Jointly worked together to remove a large boulder from the road, in one day. ◆ Culvert – emergency crews worked together and repaired the road in one day. ◆ SR 264 Road – erosion of road by water, emergency crews solved the problem together in one day. This partnership enabled this fast action to solve the issue together for the safety of the public. ◆ Water shortages [resulting in] drought situations need to be addressed together ◆ Drilling new wells together for the use of road construction, and then turning the wells over to the community later.
Coconino County	<u>Mandy Metzger</u> , <i>Coconino County Supervisor</i> <u>Tim Dalegowski</u> , <i>Public Works Transportation Planner</i>	<p>New Coconino District Supervisor</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Federal representatives in Flagstaff want to stress that this is a new administration and a new day. We’re here to help you. ◆ Can offer technical advice. ◆ Can offer materials assistance. <p>Tim Dalegowski</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Aware of the conditions of the roads on the reservation <p>Partnership is the best tool to use to resolve the issues that we face together. Open door policy to meet and work together.</p>
Navajo Division of Transportation	<u>Tom Platero</u> , <i>Navajo Division of Transportation Manager</i>	<p>Francine Bradley – Program Manager,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Appreciate the cooperation to work together on the transit issues together. ◆ Enjoyed working on the TIGER Grant with the Hopi members. ◆ Thank you again for the plaque.
Governor’s Office	<u>Katosha Nakai</u>	Thank you for inviting me today. My first official visit to the Hopi Reservation; plan to take a tour of the area

		<p>later that day.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Support this planning meeting and the Arizona tribes ◆ Future growth is important to the Governor's office and glad to see that you are using Partnering to further your projects and build lasting relationships.
--	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Topic	Highlights
Hopi Presentation	<p><u>Phillip Quochytewa</u>, <i>Hopi Tribe Council Presiding Officer & Hopi Transportation Task Team Vice Chairman</i>; and <u>Davis Pecusa</u>, <i>Hopi Transportation Task Team Chairman</i> presented.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ ARRA Tiger Grant – learned of this new grant on Aug. 18 meeting and with the help of Navajo DOT & County, the paperwork has been submitted for \$22 million in funding and pending approval. ◆ Focusing on several important issues: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Road Repair & Maintenance 2. Livestock and vendors in the ROW – safety issues 3. Drunk Driver & DUI Education ◆ BIA – we are working on projects together. <p><u>Davis Pecusa</u> – Welcome to the Partnership Meeting, glad to see so many attending. A lot of progress has been made this year. Partnering is the only way to go to successfully use our resources and combine efforts. ARRA project submitted by Navajo Nation representatives. Thanked Tiffany and Norman for submitting the paperwork on behalf of the Hopi for improvements on Low Mountain Road. This award should be announced on Feb. 17.</p> <p>Navajo Nation Transportation committee – Francine Bradley BIA - accepted by BIA Team (Herb Begay, Tiffany Ashworth, Norman Cody and Jonathan Nez.</p>

Topic: Partner Groups Presentations - in response to the following questions:

- What are your current projects that impact the Hopi Tribe?
- How can the Partnership assist those projects?

(Notes are taken from the PowerPoint presentations)

PARTNER GROUP	PARTNER GROUP REPRESENTATIVE	KEY POINTS
Hopi Tribe	<u>Davis Pecusa</u> , <i>Hopi Transportation Task Team Chairman</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HIR C-11 (Kykostmovi, Sipaulavi & Mishongnovi) construction in progress – trying to finish before the cold weather. 2. Low Mountain Road – 13.3 miles on Hopi Res to Navajo County – 5-6 months to complete 3. Turquoise Trail Road - no activity 4. HIR Consolidated Bridge # 1 – removal and disposal of 2 bridges and construct 2 new bridges on Sand Springs Road 5. ARAA IRR Leupp Rd – repair and address safety

		<p>concerns on MP 13.4 – 15. 3. Advertised on October 15.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. ARRA Maintenance projects – repair and restore road and bridges with BIA 7. ARRA TIGER Grant Application – \$21, 999,000 project for construction on Low Mountain/Whippoorwill, Pinion and Chinle communities on SR 264 and build bridge, application submitted, notification in February. 8. Route N8031 – drainage on Oraibi wash crossing studies prior to construction of permanent bridge. 9. Shungopavy Village project – 1.634 miles on SR 264 to the village \$1.7 million awarded 10. PL 93-638 Grant – \$245.000 of IRR funds for road maintenance program. Purchase new road equipment. 11. Hopi IRR Inventory – high priority to increase inventory by 25% next year. Justify need for increased funds. Partnership has 12. Construction of Airport Fred – Airport Enhancements and Repairs Study has been completed and submitted. NEPA process is next. In the 5 year planning stages for improvements. Additional funds and assistance is being researched.
<p>Arizona Department of Transportation</p>	<p><u>Lynn Johnson, Holbrook</u> <i>District Engineer</i></p>	<p>Summer Projects in 2009 SR 264 Flush coat to extend the life of pavement SR 264 Cinder seal to seal the pavement SR 264 Deck Joint on bridge over Oraibi Wash SR 264 Rip Rap Gabion baskets to control erosion & protect box culvert SR 264 Used zipper machine to replace asphalt SR 264 Traffic Control issues from numerous accidents, including airplane crash</p> <p>Completed Projects SR 264 Polacca Turning Lanes SR 264 Vans Trading Post – finished in September SR 264 Tuba City - Coal Mine Rd- new pavement SR 264 Tuba City Coal Mine Road- rock fall containment 264 Cinder Seal – 12 miles</p> <p>Projects in Design Keams Canyon Trading Post - turning lanes 2011 Concrete box culvert on 264 at Polacca Wash 2011 Crack Sealing 264 - 2010 New pavement – leveling 264 and Oraibi Wash 2010 Tuba City Lighting on 264 - 2009 New pavement overlay on SR 87- 2012 New pavement leveling – 2010/11 \$13,814,344 completed <u>\$10,747,000 in design</u> \$24,561.344 total for projects</p> <p>ADOT Funding issues as budget gets tighter. Maintenance Funding was cut by 15% in 09 Cut additional by 10% in 2010</p> <p>Resulting in reduction in material purchases, patching</p>

		<p>materials, guardrails & fences, Parking of equipment, fleet reduction, no overtime, no overnight travel, and closure of 13 rest areas statewide.</p> <p>Spending money on Mission critical only – need to, plan to move forward with services, but we need to pull together to work on the high priority projects.</p> <p>Good news is that we are still employed and have limited amount of funds to distribute. We are here today working together to solve issues and improving our partnering relationships</p> <p>Although we don't have funds to do everything we want, or believe we need to, we continue to move forward with improvements</p> <p>We have very capable, conscientious workers who strive daily to maintain, repair and keep safe the ADOT roadways on the Hopi reservation</p>
FHWA	<u>Manuel Sanchez</u> , <i>FHWA Area Engineer</i>	No projects currently with Hopi, but always available for support and assistance.
BIA	<u>Herb Begay</u> , <i>BIA Road Supervisor</i>	<p><u>Herb Begay</u>-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hopi TIGER Grant project was successfully submitted 2. Pinion project 3. Pavement Preservation projects 4. Needs future assistance with permits and utility easements 5. Airport – need assistance with development 6. Road maintenance 7. Share maintenance equipment with ADOT? <p><u>Tiffany Ashworth</u> – Assisted with the TIGER Grant application, eligibility met and federal objectives met. Partnership continues to communicate well.</p>
Navajo County	<u>Jesse Thompson</u> , <i>Navajo County Supervisor</i>	<p>Welcome to the Hopi 2nd annual Partnership meeting. We have made a lot of progress this year. Glad to be of assistance with the TIGER Grant Looking forward to working together on future projects. Important to share resources and equipment.</p>
Coconino County	<u>Tim Dalegowski</u> , <i>Coconino County Public Works Transportation Planner</i>	<p>No current projects with Hopi at this time. We can offer assistance with data tracking, traffic counters to track types of vehicles using Hopi roadways.</p>
Navajo Division of Transportation	<u>Tom Platero</u> , <i>Navajo Division of Transportation Manager</i>	<p>Francine Bradley – Program Manager Assistance to the Hopi with the TIGER Grant Recently became a Division, with several departments She recently moved to the airport department Majority of the issues are Navajo issues, MOUs, animal and vendors in the ROW Hope to simplify the communications to improve the safety</p> <p>12 to 18 Maintenance yards to be set up in the future</p> <p>Norman Cody – Civil Engineer – 2 road yards being bid on at this time. Ground breaking in December IGAs set up to facilitate partnering on these efforts</p>

		between the tribes. Service to the community
--	--	-------------------------------------------------

Topic	Presentation Highlights
Emergency Response Team Chuck Howe	<p><u>Presented by Chuck Howe</u>, <i>ADOT Flagstaff District Environmental Coordinator</i></p> <p><u>Problem Statement</u> - Lack of consistency, shared resources and communication regarding incident management and protocol among various internal and external jurisdictions.</p> <p><u>Mission</u> - Establish protocols for communications, resource utilization and operational procedures to be used during joint operations.</p> <p><u>Questions the Task Team asked:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ How do we communicate with each other during incidents? ▪ Where are the resources that we can share? ▪ Who do we contact to request those resources? ▪ Where do we get maps of large geographic areas? ▪ What and who defines an Emergency for various Organizations? ▪ When is an Emergency over, is there a plan to downgrade an incident? ▪ How far-reaching is the Emergency? ▪ How do we track expense for various forms of reimbursement? ▪ What agreements are currently in-place for resource sharing between entities? ▪ How will we handle a large catastrophic event – varying missions and objectives? ▪ How will we let the public know about what our plan is? <p><u>June 2008 Hopi Partnership meeting</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Emergency Response Task Team update prompted several questions for the Team to address: 2. Listing of emergency response phone numbers by agency for response to monsoon storms. 3. Prevention – work at mapping and identifying critical transportation routes and looking at what alternative routes exist and which are needed. 4. Multi-agency table-top exercise to help identify additional information and resource needs. 5. Consistent language to define <u>Emergencies</u> (considering existing definitions from FEMA, Homeland Security, etc). 6. Work with Processes Task Team to identify Agreement Templates. 7. Conduct lessons-learned reviews following incidents and joint operations <p><u>Two Primary Goals 2008/2009:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Work on mapping of Communications <u>Resources</u> and what (if any) agreements or protocols would be necessary to utilize those resources during an incident (Directly or through a dispatch). ◆ Compilation of Mapping Resources to provide comprehensive resource

coverage to all areas within Navajo and Hopi lands, regardless of jurisdiction.

Goal 1: Identify who needs to be on the team

- ◆ Membership continues to change (agencies stay the same but faces seem to change). Everyone seems to bring some new knowledge to the meeting, but there is also a lot of re-educating on Goals & Objectives.

Goal 2: Obtain commitment from team members;

- ◆ Difficult to get time commitment as Emergency Responders don't usually have predictable schedules.
- ◆ Multiple Jurisdictional Boundaries – Some involved jurisdictions only have small areas in common with the greater interest area.
- ◆ Getting the right people saves tremendous amount of time and leg-work

Goal 3 – Develop joint operations procedures – being formed

- ◆ Establishing a Resource Book for broad distribution to be used by Agencies, Chapters, Municipalities and Responding Entities. To be established in electronic and hard-copy format with emphasis on an Incident Command Structure that can be expanded and collapsed as the incident dictates.

Tools for responding parties

- ◆ Digital map layers for use in planning and logistics
- ◆ Points of contact for each entity and by Resource type
- ◆ Copies of signed Agreements between agencies/jurisdictions
- ◆ Resource types listed by geographic area (equipment, radios, teams)
- ◆ Emergency Operations Center locations (fixed and mobile)
- ◆ Training Resources – sharing course opportunities and listing of on-line and web-cast resources
- ◆ Template forms (state, local, federal, tribal) for use during emergency
- ◆ Refresher diagrams and definitions for use with National Incident Management System (NIMS) and Incident Command System (ICS).

NEXT STEPS:

- ◆ Continue meeting - to progress toward completion of all Goals. Next meeting is Nov. 9th or 16th; Flagstaff – ADOT District Office.
- ◆ Continue Progress with Technical Groups
 - Communications (radio, cell phones, satellite phones)
 - Mapping (GIS layers and platform)

INTO THE FUTURE:

- ◆ Listing of needed agreements
- ◆ Refine and begin sharing Resource Book information
 - Emergency Contacts (personnel and phone numbers)
 - Emergency Operations Centers
 - Centralized Dispatch Centers for each agency
 - Mapping information

Topic	Presentation Highlights
<p>Navajo Transit Update Lee V. Bigwater</p>	<p>Presented by Lee V. Bigwater, <i>Navajo Transit System, Department Manager III</i></p> <p>Navajo Nation Profile Navajo Nation Government established 1923 Three Branch Government at the Navajo Nation Executive, Judicial and Legislative</p> <p>Land Base – 27,427 square miles (size of West Virginia) – Located in parts of New Mexico, Arizona and Utah – Three satellite communities (Ramah, Tohajilee, Alamo)</p> <p>Population – 2000 Census at 256,712 @ 4.96% growth rate</p> <p>Navajo Nation Economy – Governments @ 44.3% – Private Sector @ 52.2% – Self-employed @ 3.5%</p> <p>Public Transportation – Navajo Transit System is the only public transportation service – Operate along state highways and Navajo routes – 51% customers are general public – 12% customers are elderly – 37% others</p> <p>Navajo Transit System ◆ Started fixed route operation in October 1980 ◆ Funded by: ◆ Arizona DOT (5311) ◆ New Mexico DOT (5311) ◆ FTA Tribal Transit Program (5311a) ◆ Utah DOT (5311) ◆ Navajo Nation (Match Funds)</p> <p>Training ◆ Defensive Driving ◆ First Aide ◆ CPR ◆ Customer Service ◆ Drug & Alcohol ◆ Administrative Support ◆ Financial ◆ Mechanical</p> <p>Ridership has increased in 2009 with the dollar fare program.</p> <p>Transit Fleet ◆ 13 motor coaches ◆ 12 mid size buses ◆ 6 vans ◆ 2 utility truck ◆ 1 truck</p>

	<p><u>Navajo Transit System 5 Year Plan</u> Reorganize NTS administration & operation Expansion of transit routes Local transit service in Chinle (<i>Started August 2008</i>) Dilcon/Window Rock (<i>Started February 2009</i>) Flagstaff/Tuba City (<i>Started January 2009</i>) Shiprock/Fruitland (<i>Started November 2008</i>) Kayenta/Tuba City Window Rock/Crownpoint (<i>Started March 2009</i>) Blanding/Montezuma Creek Shiprock/Window Rock (<i>Started July 2009</i>) Sanders/Window Rock</p> <p>Other un-served areas of the Navajo Nation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build a new transit administration & maintenance facility • Build designated bus stops with shelters on all routes • Build transit facility at population centers • Provide more employee training to meet requirements <p>Seek other transit system funds for NTS improvements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. State of Utah 2. Counties 3. Navajo Chapters 4. Federal Transit Administration <p>Partnership with other transit operators</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Border Towns
<p>ARRA Funds Presentation_ Lee V. Bigwater</p>	<p><u>Lee Bigwater</u>, <i>Department Manager III</i> Navajo Nation ARRA Forum June 25, 2009 Lee Bigwater, lbigwater@navajotransit.com Current Staff 7 - Administrative staff 18 - Coach drivers 5 - Mechanics 3 - Student workers www.navajotransit.com Purpose Provide safe, reliable and accessible public transportation services to the Navajo Nation and the border towns. 17 Fixed Transit Routes Routes for the passed 28 years</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tuba City – Fort Defiance 2. Toyey – Fort Defiance 3. Kayenta– Window Rock 4. Crownpoint – Window Rock 5. Fort Defiance – Gallup 6. Navajo – Gallup 7. Shiprock – Farmington 8. Shiprock – Window Rock (Monday & Friday) <p>New Routes Beginning August 2008</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Window Rock/Crownpoint/Gallup

10. Flagstaff – Tuba City
11. Dilkon – Window Rock (will extend to Leupp)
12. Shiprock – Upper Fruitland
13. Chinle – Ganado (will extend to Many Farms)

Up Coming Routes

14. Shiprock – Fort Defiance
15. Kayenta – Tuba City
16. Sanders – Fort Defiance
17. Blanding/Montezuma Creek/Red Mesa

Federal Transit-ARRA Grants

Competitive & Obligated by 09/01/09

Navajo Transit – ARRA Applications

1. Arizona Department of Transportation (Awarded)
2. New Mexico Department of Transportation (Awarded)
3. Utah Department of Transportation (Awarded)
4. Tribal- Federal Transit Administration (not yet)

Arizona – ARRA (\$1,400,180)

- One Modular Building & Site work - Shovel Ready
- Two 49 Passenger Buses
- Ten Camera Surveillance Systems
- Three Portable Storage Containers
- One 10,000 Gallon Fuel Tank

New Mexico ARRA (\$767,500)

- Two 49 Passengers Bus
- One Dispatcher Console
- Sixteen Bus Shelters
- Five GPS Communication System
- Five Bus Camera Surveillance System
- One Passenger Car

Utah ARRA (\$257,400)

- Two Small Passenger Bus
- Ten Bus Shelters
- Two GPS Communication System
- Two Bus Camera Surveillance System
- Two Laptop Computers

Tribal FTA – ARRA (\$6,700,000)

- **Navajo Transit Administration Facility - (Shovel Ready)**
- Administrative Offices
- Large Conference Room
- Major Maintenance Bays
- Bus Wash Bay
- Customer Waiting Room
- Staff Lounge
- Bus Fueling Bay

Senator Jeff Bingaman (\$257,000)

Navajo Nation Council-TCDC (\$59,000)

(Three Year - 2009 Discretionary Grant)

- Small Transit Facility in Shiprock
- Office/minor maintenance bay/lobby

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small Transit Facility in Crownpoint • Office/minor maintenance bay/lobby <p>Reasons For Upgrade?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Better Access To Facility for passenger 2. Need Indoor work area for maintenance 3. Need bus storage, especially in winter 4. Need bus transit transfer area – safer for passengers 5. Increased Ridership <p>We are ready for next stage of ARRA money Projects in Chinle, Tuba City and Dilkon facility</p> <p><u>Tribal Conference – November 30 – December 2</u></p> <p>Invitation and opportunity to get involved in transit projects and inspire new projects and ideas.</p> <p>Fort McDowell Resort and Conference Center</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transit Agencies, Federal and State • Drivers • Tribal Personnel wanting to begin a transit program • Human Services and Social Service workers • Administration • Tribal Leaders <p>http://conference.navajotransit.com</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Facilitator, Joy Keller-Weidman, president of Holon Consulting, guided the participants through small and large group exercises for each of the following topics:

Topic	Presentation Highlights
Partnership Charter Update	<p>Charter Updates include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ We collected signatures for the Charter

Topic	Presentation Highlights
Partnering Evaluation Program (PEP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Review chart of PEP ratings over the 12 months since the 1st annual Partnership meeting ◆ Meeting participants completed the PEP rating form

Topic	Presentation Highlights
Guidance/Goals and Direction for 3rd year	<p>Recommendations for the direction of the partnership’s 3rd year from the break out groups include the following:</p>

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FOCUS OF THE PARTNERSHIP:

GOAL	STEP
Team # 1	Establish a list of one point of contact
	Identify the contact name and email
	Who

	Where What is their responsibility?
	Steering Committee established
	Use of web sites ADOT – Misty Dayzie Navajo DOT County Liaison
	Being able to maintain contact How? – One point of contact for tracking funding issues. Use the web sites
	Improve coordination & commitment
Team # 2	Funding for Federal grants Identify available funding sources
	Collaboration process
	Identify grant opportunities Training/overview Grant deadlines (ARRA)
	Planning Prioritize projects
	Coordinate transit service between neighboring systems
	Define partnership opportunities
Team # 3	Share resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personnel – need to clarify for environmental issues • Equipment • Materials • Funding & services
	Maps of the Hopi area – especially to identify resources (roads, yards, water)
	Next steps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Davis Pecusa pursuing some maps with NDOT • Check with each agency as to what is already available • Check with emergency response task team & ADOT GIS to overlay different maps • Eileen will check with ADOT GIS
	Identify resources & limitations constraints (policy, etc) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Randal Pair will discuss with emergency task team
Team # 4	Snow removal – identify resources Example: ADOT, BIA, Tribal, coordination Routes: SR 264/N6/H2/H6/ Villages Consider MOA & MOU
	Airport – identify any Tribal lobbyist to get involved for changing State Legislation <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Access Road implementation 2. Determine IMS involvement 3. Address land issues – village land 4. Assessment process completion 5. Water – opportunities and constraints

	Bus pullouts – coordination between Hopi Transit & Navajo Transit & local schools
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Topic
Closing Comments

PARTICIPANTS’ FEEDBACK OF MEETING EFFECTIVENESS:

COMPILED MEETING FEEDBACK: Each evaluation form was given a number, the comment is listed by the number. If the number does not appear, no comment was written

Ratings based on low of 0.5 and high of 4.0

1. **How valuable was this partnership meeting for you?** **Average: 3.56**

This meeting was not valuable			This meeting was somewhat valuable		This meeting was valuable		This meeting was very valuable	
0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5		4.0

2. What about this partnership meeting was most valuable to you?

1. Talking to people
2. More collaboration in process – mostly thanks to Navajo County Supervisors!
3. Information gathering
4. The representative from the Governor’s office is a good gesture to continue with the improvement on partnering.
5. Understanding the integration of agencies and projects.
6. Successes/accomplishments
7. Networking
8. All the partners being together
9. Updates on progress and new partner group representatives introductions.
11. This meeting was valuable.
14. Getting together face to face again.
16. Meeting new people and sharing of information.
17. Working together in small groups and assigning tasks to do.
18. Hearing what other agencies were doing.
19. Networking and putting a name to a face.
20. All valuable but could not really hear due to the size of the building – the echo.
21. Hearing the Hopi tribe make improvements with the help of the partnership.
22. Meeting with the airport representatives from the Hopi and Navajo.
23. I liked hearing about the accomplishment.
24. Update ADOT projects.
25. The information on what projects have been completed.
26. Sharing information and maintaining/making contacts.
27. Opportunity to meet and talk with tribal representatives about transportation issues.
28. Meeting the various key partners.
30. Meeting everyone in the Partnership. Driving the actual roads being discussed.
32. Having people express their interest in what’s going on within the partnership.
33. Highway projects funded by ARRA on much needed roadway improvements.

3. What would have improved the effectiveness of this partnership meeting?

1. Smaller room needed
2. Need visual aids/maps when talking about specific places and routes
3. Clearer focus on overhead screen
4. Since this is our 2nd annual partnership meeting as Hopi we try our very best to be a good host and sponsor.
5. A clearer understanding of roles and restrictions.
6. Too much echoing. Need a conference room not a gym to meet in.
7. Better structured agenda – what we are trying to achieve.
8. Better attendance.
9. A map of the reservation should be projected onto the screen and the speakers should use a pointer to show the location of the projects or areas of concern. This would help people who are new to the partnership understand what is being discussed and where it is.
11. Where it is going – is it going somewhere?
12. More cooperation and involvement from all. Member or partners to complete all projects presented. Continued support to the Native American tribes. As far as dollars are concerned – involve Coconino County in more projects as resources/income for natives are spent in Coconino County by natives.
14. Not on a Friday. To hard to get here.
17. Place the hands-on group work at the beginning of the meeting.
19. A smaller venue and interactive group activities.
20. Better place to hold the meeting due to sound.
24. Facility too large/big
25. To come to a solution of what is needed on other projects.
28. Scheduling a meeting will also be the factor on everyone to attend.
29. More consultation communication coordination collaboration with tribal department and less involvement and decision making from elected officials and committees.
30. Good presentations by all.
31. Audio. Too much echo, can't hear good.
33. Better audio for speakers.

4. How do you rate the effectiveness of the Facilitators? **Average: 3.45**

Was not effective 0.5 1.0 1.5	Was somewhat effective 2.0 2.5	Was effective 3.0 3.5	Was very effective 4.0
----------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------

4. Outstanding
6. Presenters were excellent
11. Was effective meeting.
21. The speaker system or the auditorium has bad acoustics – bad sound - echo.
30. Joy kept us on track.
31. Everyone did a wonderful job and the PowerPoint presentations were helpful.

5. How do you rate the effectiveness of the Presenters? **Average: 3.37**

Were not effective 0.5 1.0 1.5	Were somewhat effective 2.0 2.5	Were effective 3.0 3.5	Were very effective 4.0
-----------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------

2. Poor sound system, to much mumbling, too low volume and too monotone – The room was noisy
3. Mostly because of the microphones, it sometimes was difficult to hear.
6. The sound system wasn't too good. Missed part of presentation.
11. Was effective.

- 21. Good use of PowerPoint – good information.
- 23. Everyone did a wonderful job and the PowerPoint presentations were helpful.
- 30. All were very good – PowerPoint and packet.
- 31. Slowly gaining the effectiveness of the presenters. I think with help from the participants giving more attention it can be successful

6. How do you rate this partnership’s potential for partnering?

Average: 3.50

This partnership has no potential for partnering 0.5 1.0 1.5	This partnership has some potential for partnering 2.0 2.5	This partnership has good potential for partnering 3.0 3.5	This partnership has very good potential for partnering 4.0
-----------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

- 4. Very powerful and many improvements have been made within a short period.
- 5. Enthusiasm is the there and that is the key.
- 11. This partnership team has some potential for partnering.
- 12. Continue involvement/information sharing is critical for all.
- 18. We have what it takes to be successful, need to continue to coordination and communicate.

7. What other comments do you wish to offer?

- 2. ADOT management needs to loosen up if ADOT is to be a true partner. Take lesson from Navajo County Supervisors.
- 3. This was very helpful/interesting to me. To learn the issues and the successes and obstacles the Partnership faces. I just started working for Hopi, so all the info was great!
- 4. In the future all the partnership participants need to go on the website so as to educate all residents of Arizona who we are and what our mission statement is all about.
- 5. Focus on collaboration and involvement of clearances, studies and plans, getting grants/funding to be shovel ready.
- 6. Keep partnership together and advancing.
- 7. Need a better sound system next time – hard to hear.
- 9. It was good to see the progress that has been made since last year. Thanks to the Hopi Tribe for its hospitality!
- 11. Just do it.
- 13. Communicate and stay connected.
- 20. Better attendance by my tribal members and the Hopi Tribal Council members to show their support.
- 21. Some of the information is repeated. So it became redundant.
- 23. No more meetings on Fridays. It is very difficult to make.
- 26. Very nice job hosting event – door prizes – nice touch. Food was excellent.
- 27. Thank you Hopi Tribe for your kind hospitality hosting this meeting.
- 28. Continue to have annual meetings.
- 30. Smaller room with better lighting and acoustics. Fabulous food. Thanks Marilyn, Diane and Hopi Tribe.
- 32. I hope that this partnership never dissolves because it’s working for the benefit of everybody.
- 33. Meeting place with better acoustics.

Attachments:

Participants Roster